Section 199A & Section 469 How to Calculate the New Pass-Through Deduction



"Grouping" vs. "Trade or Business"

- Challenge the Section 199A refers to income earned by a "trade or business" when applying the 20% pass-through deduction, but does not define the term
 - Nor does it address the case of businesses with multiple separate legal entities
- In the case of owners with interests in multiple entities, the bill fails to describe how the new 20% deduction should be calculated
 - Is it calculated at the entity level, or are owners allowed to "group" separate legal activities together for purposes of calculating the deduction, as under Section 469?

This is a BIG deal!

- Businesses often house their operations, assets, and contracts in multiple legal entities, often for non-tax reasons
- Here's an example





- Typical "Brother-Sister" company with common shareholders and cash pooling
- Company A has operations and employees Company B has capital assets
- Grouping under 469 would allow Shareholder X to group all income, payroll, etc from Companies A and B to determine 199A deduction

Example #1 – Brother-Sister Company

• Grouping "Brother-Sister" Companies reduces overall tax payment by 6%

	S Corporation A		S Corporation B		A & B NOT Grouped		A & B Grouped	
Income	\$	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	200.00	\$	200.00
W-2 Wages	\$	70.00	\$	10.00			\$	80.00
Capital	\$	25.00	\$	200.00			\$	225.00
Deduction (20%)	\$	20.00	\$	20.00			\$	40.00
W-2 Limitation	\$	35.00	\$	5.00			\$	40.00
Capital Limitation	\$	18.13	\$	7.50			\$	25.63
Allowed Deduction	\$	20.00	\$	7.50	\$	27.50	\$	40.00
Taxable Income	\$	80.00	\$	92.50	\$	172.50	\$	160.00
Effective Tax Rate		29.6%		34.2%		31.9%		29.6%



Example #2 – Management Holding Company

- Another challenge is where a family business has multiple entities, but houses all the payroll, insurance, and finances within just one of those entities, using it as a "Management Holding Company"
- Here's the AICPA example:

"The ability to aggregate or group separate legal activities together will provide relief from a need to restructure where a family business has employees in a single entity, but the operating entities are separate. For example, a retailer might have one management holding company entity with executives and administrative staff, but each store front is in a separate legal entity underneath the management company. This example would allow for aggregating the QBI from the management business (with all of the Form W-2 wages) with the taxpayer's other trades or businesses, in lieu of having to issue employees a Form W-2 from each entity."



Example #2 – Domestic Manufacturing Company



- Company A houses ALL payroll, insurance & financing to reduce costs Company A has no revenue
- If 199A is calculated without grouping, manufacturer would need to completely reorganize operations or get little or no deduction
- That result makes no sense!

Grouping vs. "Trade or Business"

- The solution to this challenge is for the Treasury and IRS to use its regulation authority to allow for "grouping" to calculate Qualified Business Income under Section 199A
 - In the example above, the owners would aggregate all the income, loss, employment, etc, of companies A-D to calculate their QBI and the resulting allowable deduction
- S-Corp is working with our Main Street Employers coalition to educate lawmakers and the Administration on the importance of allowing grouping under Section 469 for the new pass-through deduction
- As the examples show, it is a more sensible and efficient way to calculate the deduction
- It is also a better way to ensure that real businesses with real profits and investment get the full pass-through deduction



Section 199A & Section 469 How to Calculate the New Pass-Through Deduction

